

STATE OF ARIZONA

OCT 9 1998

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

DEPT. OF INSURANCE
BY Kath

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 98A-115-INS
)	
CONNIE McKEE BODEN,)	ORDER
)	
Petitioner.)	
)	

On October 5, 1998, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Robert I. Worth, issued a Decision and Recommended Order ("Recommended Order"), a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Director of the Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Order and enters the following Order:

1. The recommended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are adopted, except as to Finding of Fact #8 and Conclusion of Law #4, which are rejected for the reasons described below.

Finding of Fact #8 is rejected because it is a statement of opinion, recommendation, and speculation about future events, and is not a finding of fact. The conclusion that the Department's denial action was not arbitrary or unjustified is a conclusion of law, as reflected in paragraph 2 of the Conclusions of Law. The statement that this decision is not a bar to future applications is superfluous because A.R.S. Title 20 does not bar a person whose application was denied from re-applying at any time.

Conclusion of Law #4 is also rejected because it is a statement of opinion, recommendation, and speculation, and because it is repetitive of the statements made in

1 the Recommended Order. The statement that the applicant's ineligibility should not be
2 treated as perpetual is superfluous because A.R.S. Title 20 does not bar a person whose
3 application was denied from re-applying at any time.


4 3. The prior denial action by the Department of Insurance is affirmed, and
5 Petitioner's application for a life and disability insurance agent license is denied.

6 NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

7 The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written
8 petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth
9 the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

10 The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa
11 County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-904 and 20-166. A party filing an appeal must
12 notify the Office of Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing the complaint
13 commencing the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904(B).

14 DATED this 8th of October, 1998

15 
16 _____
17 Charles R. Cohen
18 Acting Director of Insurance


18 A copy of the foregoing mailed
19 this 9 day of October, 1998

20 Sara M. Begley, Acting Deputy Director
21 Vista T. Brown, Executive Assistant
22 John Gagne, Assistant Director
23 Scott Greenberg, Business Administrator
Catherine O'Neil, Legal Affairs Officer
Department of Insurance
2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210
Phoenix, AZ 85018

1 Office of Administrative Hearings
1700 W. Washington, Suite 602
2 Phoenix, AZ 85007

3 Michael J. De La Cruz
Assistant Attorney General
4 1275 W. Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007

5 Connie McKee Boden
6 c/o Farmers Insurance
1717 W. Northern Avenue, Suite 108
7 Phoenix, AZ 85021-5400

8
9 
10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1
2 **IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

3
4 **In The Matter Of:**

Docket No. 98A-115-INS

5
6 **CONNIE McKEE BODEN,**

DECISION AND RECOMMENDED ORDER

7 **Petitioner.**

8
9
10 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on September 29, 1998. The
11 Petitioner appeared in her own behalf, and the Arizona Department of Insurance
12 (herein called the "Department") was represented by Assistant Attorney General,
13 Michael J. De La Cruz, Esq. Evidence and testimony were presented, and based upon
14 the entire case record, including all filed pleadings, the following Findings of Fact,
15 Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order have been prepared and are hereby
16 submitted by the Administrative Law Judge for review, consideration, approval and
17 adoption by the Director of the Department (herein called the "Director").

18 **FINDINGS OF FACT**

19
20 1. The evidence revealed that Petitioner had filed an application with the
21 Department for a property and casualty insurance agent license on which application
22 she had fully disclosed, in answer to a specific question, that she had been previously
23 convicted of a felony.

24
25 2. It was not disputed that, pursuant to a plea agreement, Petitioner was
26 convicted of a felony consisting of the possession of a narcotic drug (methamphetamine)
27 with intent to sell. The underlying criminal acts had been committed in June, 1995, and
28 the judgment of conviction was entered on October 16, 1996. The sentence imposed
29 consisted of 5 years probation plus 75 hours of community service.

30 3. At the present time, Ms. Boden has fully and expeditiously completed her
required hours of community service in less than the allotted time, and she is still

Office of Administrative Hearings
1700 West Washington, Suite 602
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 542-9826

1 donating her spare time to performing even more such services. Her period of
2 probation is being successfully served and is slightly less than half completed, with a
3 reasonable assurance from her probation officer that the overall period may be
4 shortened.

5
6 4. Petitioner freely admits that her prior addition to drugs was the dominant
7 cause of her criminal problems, but has credibly testified that she has remained drug
8 free for the past three years, starting in September, 1995, shortly after the commission
9 of offense and before the conviction date, successfully passing every one of many
10 interim urinalysis tests required or suggested during her probation period. Although she
11 had managed to terminate her prior drug dependency on her own initiative, she did
12 subsequently participate for a prolonged time period in a program of group and
13 individual therapy for further fortification of her desires and efforts to become fully
14 rehabilitated.

15 5. Ms. Boden has demonstrated significant progress, dependability and loyalty
16 in her employment, and she has regularly been entrusted with funds as well as with the
17 handling of other matters of a sensitive nature. She has recently acquired a home and
18 an automobile, showing every indication that her lifestyle and mental attitude has
19 dramatically been altered since the above-described conviction and the events leading
20 thereto.

21 6. The rehabilitation objectives of the State's criminal justice system appear to
22 have achieved a measure of success in Ms. Boden's case, generating substantial gains
23 in many social and skill development directions. Moreover, she was able to produce
24 several expressions of strong support from members of the business community as well
25 as from an individual involved in the correctional sector.

26 7. Notwithstanding all of the foregoing, and mindful of Ms. Boden's significant
27 progress and demonstrated success in altering her prior behavior patterns and
28 responses, designed not to repeat any past mistakes, it is found and determined that
29 insufficient rehabilitation time has elapsed since the dates of her criminal conduct and
30

1 of her felony conviction. The unserved balance of the long and ongoing probation
2 period that the Court imposed as her sentence for the offense she had committed,
3 shown to have been a crime involving moral turpitude, prevents her unsupervised return
4 to society at this time. While the continuous and ongoing efforts by Petitioner to guard
5 against future temptations and against the possible reoccurrence of any anti-social
6 behavior are highly commendable, as are the unqualified expressions of confidence
7 and support business colleagues, it does not follow that an entitlement presently exists
8 for Mr. Boden to receive the license for which she has applied.

9
10 8. Although it is possible and even probable that, at some point in the not too
11 distant future, the ongoing demonstration and reports of Ms. Boden's continued
12 exemplary conduct and activities, reflecting her highly commendable desires to become
13 and remain a productive citizen and businessperson, will balance and outweigh her
14 prior criminal history, the Department's action in denying the pending license application
15 cannot be held to have been arbitrary or unjustified. However, nothing in this decision
16 should be construed to constitute a perpetual bar to Ms. Boden's ability to apply for and
17 obtain an insurance license in the future, provided that she continues to maintain a fine
18 record as a conscientious and law-abiding individual over a more prolonged time
19 duration.

20 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

21
22 1. The Director has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the provisions of
23 A.R.S. §§20-161 and 20-290.

24
25 2. The previous determination by the Department to deny the license application
26 submitted by Ms. Boden cannot be held to have been arbitrary, unreasonable or
27 otherwise unwarranted. Stated alternatively, it must be held that Petitioner has not
28 sustained her realistic burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that she is
29 presently entitled to licensure by the Department.
30

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

3. The Director, after reviewing and evaluating all facts and circumstances, is empowered by the provisions of A.R.S. §20-290(B)(6) with the discretion to issue or to deny the issuance of an insurance license to applicants having a record of one or more felony convictions which involve moral turpitude. The totality of the evidence of record in this case established an adequate basis to support the Director's exercise of his statutory discretion to deny the license application submitted by Petitioner.

4. Any ineligibility at this time for Ms. Boden to be granted an insurance license should not be and is not treated as perpetual, and a future new application may be favorably accepted, in the discretion of the Director, after the passage of a reasonable length of time upon a showing that, during such intervening period, Petitioner continues to achieve and maintain a good record.


RECOMMENDED ORDER

In view of the foregoing, it is recommended that the prior denial action by the Department be affirmed and that the Director enter his Order denying the application for a life and disability insurance agent license submitted by Connie McKee Boden.

It is further recommended that the entry of the Director's Order denying the instant license application should be treated as being expressly without prejudice to Ms. Boden's ability to apply for and to receive an insurance license in the future, provided that she continues to compile a good record as a conscientious and law-abiding individual over a more prolonged time period.

Dated: October 5, 1998.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS



Robert. I. Worth
Administrative Law Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

Original transmitted on October 5, 1998

by: Curvey Burton, to:

Mr. Charles R. Cohen, Acting Director
Arizona Department of Insurance
2910 North 44th Street, Ste. 210
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Attn: Curvey Burton